

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 7531
10/782,924	02/23/2004	Hirotsuna Miura	118763	
25944 OLIFF & BER	7590 08/07/2007 RIDGE PLC		EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 1993	28	* .	HEINRICH, SAMUEL M	
ALEXANDRI	A, VA 22320		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1725	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•		08/07/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Ap	plication No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		/782,924	MIURA ET AL.					
		aminer	Art Unit					
	Sa	muel M. Heinrich	1725					
The MAILING DATE of this com	munication appears	on the cover sheet with	the correspondence a	ddress				
Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIC WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE Extensions of time may be available under the provafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this If NO period for reply is specified above, the maxim Failure to reply within the set or extended period for Any reply received by the Office later than three moderned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704	IE MAILING DATE isions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). communication. um statutory period will appreply will, by statute, causenths after the mailing date	OF THIS COMMUNIC, In no event, however, may a repoly and will expire SIX (6) MONTI the application to become ABA	ATION. ly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <i>07 May 2</i>	007	•	•				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL .								
<u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 60-72 is/are pending in	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>60-72</u> is/are pending in the application.							
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) 60-72 is/are rejected.	·							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected t	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to re	striction and/or ele	ction requirement.						
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to b	y the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>23 February 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any	objection to the draw	ing(s) be held in abeyand	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is object	ed to by the Examir	ner. Note the attached	Office Action or form P	'TO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:								
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
			·					
Attachment(s)				· ·				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)			mmary (PTO-413)					
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revi Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/27/07; 7/05/07</u>. 			Mail Date ormal Patent Application 					

Application/Control Number: 10/782,924

Art Unit: 1725

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 60-72 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (AAPA) in view of USPN 6,531,191 to Notenboom and in view of EP0930641A2 for the same reasons as set forth in the last Office action.

AAPA describes (Specification Description of the Related Art, pp. 1 and 2, Figures 25 and 26) well known droplet deposition and laser treatment. Notenboom describes (column 3, lines 40-57) laser evaporation means for obtaining a thin layer or particles wherein the liquid is evaporated, and describes subsequent laser sintering of the particles "by means of a more powerful laser". Notenboom show (Figure 1f, 1g)

Application/Control Number: 10/782,924

Art Unit: 1725

applying a second layer of drops at a junction of two first layer drops. EP0930641A2 describes ([0118]-[0120] and Figure 13) deposition of droplets and subsequent deposition of fluid "on the areas devoid of the previously deposited fluid". The instant claimed droplet spacing would have been obvious at the time applicant's invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art because the non-contacting droplets provide a smaller conductive line. EP0930641A2 shows first and second inkjet heads. Diffraction optical elements and reflectors are well known beam focus and beam direction means and the use thereof would have been obvious at the time applicant's invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art for directing a beam to a substrate.

Claim 65 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (AAPA) in view of USPN 6,531,191 to Notenboom and in view of EP0930641A2 as applied to claim 60 above, and further in view of USPN 3,710,072 to Shrader et al for the same reasons as set forth in the last Office action.

Shrader et a describe (column 6, lines 63-67) the use of a diffuse beam and the use of a narrow beam, and the use of a wide beam for evaporation in a method of manufacturing a wiring substrate would have been obvious at the time applicant's invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art because the wide beam porvides efficient evaporation of certain materials.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed May 07, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that gasifying solvent from the first, second, and third

Application/Control Number: 10/782,924

Art Unit: 1725

droplets is not described in the prior art. Applicant also states that neither Notenboom nor EP 641 discloses the partial vaporization. These arguments are not convincing. AAPA describes (Description of the Related Art) droplets which are naturally dried and then heated and describes drying of droplets with an IR lamp. Notenboom describe (column 3, lines 40-57) laser evaporation and subsequent laser sintering with a more powerful laser. Notenboom shows (Figures 1f and 1g) a first layer of adjacent coating spots 7 and 9 and a second layer coating spot 10 which overlaps both spots 7 and 9. It would have been obvious at the time applicant's invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art that following heating, drying, or laser evaporation, any remaining or trapped solvent would be gasified in the sintering steps described in AAPA or Notenboom.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samuel M. Heinrich whose telephone number is 571-272-1175. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, J. Johnson can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Samuel M Heinrich Primary Examiner Art Unit 1725

Samuel M. Herrich